

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/762,628 | 01/22/2004 | Jan Constant Cool | 30394-1116 5051 | |
| 5179 | 7590 08/30/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| PEACOCK MYERS, P.C. POBOX 26927 | | | SWEET, THOMAS | |
| | QUE, NM 87125-6927 | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 3738 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TWE

| 14.1 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/762,628 | COOL ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Thomas J. Sweet | 3738 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| - | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · — | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as | nd/or election requirement | | | | |
| الــا(٥ | are subject to restriction at | na/or olookorrroquilomoni. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| , | The specification is objected to by the Exar | <u>—</u> | Evenine | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| • | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| - | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | eigh phonty under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a | 1)-(u) or (i). | | | |
| a) | 1. Certified copies of the priority docur | nents have been received. | | | | |
| • | 2. Certified copies of the priority docur | | tion No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have been receiv | red in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bu | | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a | a list of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/04 and 8/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 8/30/04 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the prior art cited is a duplicated from the 7/30/04 IDS. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 1, the use of the term "distinguishable" is a relative term is unclear and subject interpretation rendering the scope of the claim indeterminate (i.e. distinguishable not equal distinct).

Art Unit: 3738

With regard to claims 2-4, the use of the term "one hand" and "other hand" is confusing and has a connotation of not positively claiming structure (i.e. sound like intended use). The Examiner suggests positively claiming structure with structure nexuses.

With regard to claims 2 and 7, the antecedent basis of "hinge" is unclear since a "pivoting coupling" is already claimed.

With regard to claim 5, is not further limiting, since it claims a one piece "front portion" and "heel" which were previously claimed as ankle hinged to one another

With regard to claim 6, claims previously claimed distinct structural members "first spring" and "coupling element" as the same member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elsasser (DE 309006). Elsasser discloses a foot prosthesis or orthesis (fig.1) for a member of a human body, comprising limb-forming components, which components are connected by pivoting couplings (5,6,14 etc.), and at least one first spring element (e.g. 22 or 24) whose ends are coupled with components that are distinguishable (separate?) from each other (e.g. 4), wherein a coupling element is provided spanning at least two of said pivoting couplings (e.g. 11 or 13) and further comprising a lower leg portion (1), a heel portion (2), a front portion of the foot (3) and a toe portion (4), wherein the front portion of the foot at either side ends in an ankle hinge (6) and a

Art Unit: 3738

toe hinge (23), respectively, for the coupling of on the one hand the heel portion (2) and the lower leg portion (1), and on the other end the toe portion (4), and wherein the coupling element (24 and 13) spans the pivoting couplings of the front portion of the foot.

With respect to claim 3, wherein the coupling element (24 and 13) is connected on the one hand the toe portion (4) and on the other hand with a selection made from the group consisting of the lower leg portion (1) and the heel portion (2).

With respect to claim 4, wherein the coupling element (24 and 13) is connected on the one hand with the toe portion (4) and on the other hand with the lower leg portion (1).

With respect to claim 5, wherein the front portion (3) of the foot and the heel part (2) are integrated (brought together by pin 6) to form a whole (unit).

With respect to claim 6, wherein the coupling element (24 and 13) is the first spring element (24).

778

Claims 1- & are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collier (US 5545234). Collier discloses a foot prosthesis or orthesis (fig. 2) for a member of a human body, comprising limb-forming components, which components are connected by pivoting couplings (20 and 38), and at least one first spring element (42) whose ends are coupled with components that are distinguishable from each other (30 and 16), wherein a coupling element is provided spanning at least two of said pivoting couplings (42) and further comprising a lower leg portion (16), a heel portion (28 near 14), a front portion (28 near 42) of the foot and a toe portion (30), wherein the front portion (28) of the foot at either side ends in an ankle hinge (20) and a toe hinge (38), respectively, for the coupling of on the one hand the heel portion (28) and the lower

Art Unit: 3738

leg portion (16), and on the other end the toe portion (30), and wherein the coupling element (42) spans the pivoting couplings of the front portion of the foot.

With regard to claims 3 and 4, wherein the coupling element (42) is connected on the one hand with the toe portion (30) and on the other hand with the lower leg portion (16).

With regard to claim 5, wherein the front portion of the foot and the heel part are integrated to form a whole (28).

With regard to claim 6, wherein the coupling element (42) is the first spring element (42).

With regard to claim 7,A prosthesis or orthesis according to claim wherein the heel portion (28) and the lower leg portion (16) share the ankle hinge (20) of the front portion (28) of the foot, while also being mutually coupled via a second spring element (27).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Suzuki et al. (US 6007584), Mosler et al. (US 6767370), Johnson et al. (US 6666895) and Doddroe et al. (US 6602295).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Sweet whose telephone number is 571-272-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00pm, M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3738

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tjs

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700